arXiv:gr-qc/9811059v1 17 Nov 1998

International Journal of Modern Physics D, Vol. 8, No. 1 (1999)
© World Scientific Publishing Company

DIRAC MONOPOLES ON KERR BLACK HOLES: COMPARING GAUGES [*]

YU. P. GONCHAROV
Theoretical Group, Experimental Physics Department, State Technical University
Sankt-Petersburg 195251, Russia

Received October 1998

We update our previous work on the description of twisted configurations for complex massless scalar field on the Kerr black holes as the sections of complex line bundles over the Kerr black hole topology $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. From physical point of view the appearance of twisted configurations is linked with the natural presence of Dirac monopoles that arise as connections in the above line bundles. We consider their description in the gauge inequivalent to the one studied previously and discuss a row of new features appearing in this gauge.

1. Introductory Remarks

Recently in Ref.[1] we have described topologically inequivalent configurations (TICs) of complex massless scalar field within the framework of the Kerr black geometry. As was discussed in Ref.[1], those TICs exist owing to high nontriviality of the standard topology of the 4D black hole spacetimes which is of the $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ -form. High nontriviality of the given topology consists in the fact that over it there exist a huge (countable) number of nontrivial real and complex vector bundles of any rank $N > 1$ (for complex ones for $N = 1$ too) and also the countable number of the so-called Spin^c-structures. As a result, there arises a nontrivial problem to take in theory into account the possibilities tied with the existence of TICs. The latter ones can be treated as both the sections and connections in the mentioned vector bundles. It is clear that it is necessary to describe TICs in the form convenient to physical applications. In its turn, the first step here is to describe TICs of complex (massless) scalar field. For the case of the Schwarzschild (SW) and Reissner-Nordström (RN) metrics this was in main features done in Refs.[2,3] and then was used when analysing the contribution of twisted TICs of complex scalar field to the Hawking radiation.[4] The work of Ref.[1] extended the mentioned description to the Kerr geometry case.

When deriving the results of Ref.[1] we were using some gauge for the connections (Dirac monopoles) in complex line bundles over $\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2$-topology needed to describe the above TICs (for more details see Ref.[1] and below). In what follows we shall refer to this gauge as gauge I. There exists, however, one more gauge (gauge II in what follows) inequivalent to gauge I, which is also interesting from physical point of view and which was not considered in Ref.[1]. This gauge has been employed by us when building U(N)-monopoles with $N > 1$ in Refs.[5,6]. There is, therefore, some necessity to compare both the gauges. The present paper will just be devoted to the given question but we shall restrict ouselves to the case of the U(1)(Dirac)-monopoles as the most physically interesting one.

---

[*] PACS Nos.: 04.20.Jb, 04.70.Dy, 14.80.Hv

Sec. 2 contains description of TICs and building U(1)-monopoles in the gauge II. Sec. 3 checks the Maxwell equations, Gauss theorem and Lorentz condition for those monopoles in the given gauge while Sec. 4 gives some estimates of their masses. Sec. 5 considers one possible application of the results obtained to the Hawking radiation from Kerr black holes and explores some differences arising under this in both the gauges. Finally, Sec. 6 is devoted to the concluding remarks.

We use the ordinary set of the local Boyer-Lindquist coordinates t, r, ϑ, φ covering the whole manifold $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ except for a set of the zero measure. At this the surface t=const., r=const. is an oblate ellipsoid with topology $\mathbb{S}^2$ and the focal distance a while $0 \le \vartheta < \pi, 0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$. Under the circumstances we write down the Kerr metric in the form

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu \equiv (1-2Mr/\Sigma)dt^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}dr^2 - \Sigma d\vartheta^2 - [(r^2+a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2\sin^2\vartheta]\frac{\sin^2\vartheta}{\Sigma}d\varphi^2$$
$$+\frac{4Mra\sin^2\vartheta}{\Sigma}dtd\varphi \tag{1}$$

with $\Sigma = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\vartheta$, $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$, $a = J/M$, where J, M are, respectively, a black hole mass and an angular moment.

For inquiry let us adduce the components of metric in the cotangent bundle of manifold $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the metric (1) (in tangent bundle), so long as we shall need them in calculations below. These are

$$g^{tt} = \frac{1}{\Sigma\Delta}[(r^2+a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2\sin^2\vartheta], g^{rr} = -\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma}, g^{\vartheta\vartheta} = -\frac{1}{\Sigma}, g^{\varphi\varphi} = -\frac{1}{\Delta\sin^2\vartheta}(1-2Mr/\Sigma),$$
$$g^{t\varphi} = g^{\varphi t} = \frac{2Mra}{\Sigma\Delta}\,. \tag{2}$$

Besides we have $|g| = |\det(g_{\mu\nu})| = (\Sigma\sin\vartheta)^2$, $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, so $r_+ \le r < \infty$, $0 \le \vartheta < \pi$, $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$.

Throughout the paper we employ the system of units with $\hbar = c = G = 1$, unless explicitly stated. Finally, we shall denote $L_2(F)$ the set of the modulo square integrable complex functions on any manifold F furnished with an integration measure.

2. Description of TICs and Building Monopoles

As was discussed in Refs.[2,3], TICs of complex scalar field on the 4D black holes are conditioned by the availability of countable number of complex line bundles over the $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$-topology underlying the 4D black hole physics. Each TIC corresponds to the sections of a complex line bundle E while the latter can be characterized by its Chern number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (the set of integers). TIC with $n = 0$ can be called *untwisted* one while the rest of the TICs with $n \neq 0$ should be referred to as *twisted*. Using the fact that all the mentioned line bundles can be trivialized over the chart of local coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ covering almost the whole manifold $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, one should try to obtain a suitable wave equation on the given chart for massless TIC ϕ with the Chern number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

When searching for the form of this wave equation we ought to follow a number of reasonable principles that has been enumerated in Ref.[1]. But let us recall them here. It is obvious that the sought equation should look as $\mathcal{D}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi = 0$, where $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu$ is a covariant derivative on the sections of the bundle E with Chern number n, while $\mathcal{D}^\mu$ is a formal adjoint to $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ regarding the usual scalar product in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2\,)$ induced by the metric (1). That is, the operator $\mathcal{D}^\mu$ acts on the differential forms $b = b_\mu dx^\mu$ with coefficients in the bundle E in accordance with the rule

$$\mathcal{D}^\mu(b) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\partial_\mu(g^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{|g|}b_\nu) + ig\overline{A_\mu}g^{\mu\nu}b_\nu\,, \tag{3}$$

where the overbar signifies complex conjugation.

Under this situation, the sought equation should be derived by the standard procedure from the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = |g|^{1/2}g^{\mu\nu}\overline{\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi}\mathcal{D}_\nu\phi\,, \tag{4}$$

At $n = 0$ the sought equation should pass on to the known one for untwisted configuration of Ref.[7], while at $n \neq 0, a = 0$ it should be the wave equation for twisted TICs on the Schwarzschild black hole.[2,3] Finally, the sought equation at $n \neq 0, a \neq 0$ should keep the valuable property of the variable separability which holds true for the $n = 0, a \neq 0$ case[7], so long as it will be important when quantizing twisted TICs.

To meet all the enumerated requirements we can employ the gauge freedom in choice of the connection A (vector-potential A_μ) in the bundle E. One such a choice was realised in Ref.[1] (gauge I). In the present paper we shall realise another choice (gauge II) and we shall take the following form for the sought equation

$$\mathcal{D}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi = \Box_n\phi = \Box\phi - \frac{1}{\Sigma\sin^2\vartheta}\left[2in\cos\vartheta\left(a\sin^2\vartheta\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right) - n^2\cos^2\vartheta\right]\phi = 0 \tag{5}$$

with the standard wave operator $\Box = |g|^{-1/2}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{|g|}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu)$ conforming to the metric (1).

Comparing the Eq. (5) to the wave equation derived from the lagrangian (4)

$$\Box\phi - \frac{ie}{\sqrt{|g|}}\partial_\mu(g^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{|g|}A_\nu\phi) - (ie\overline{A_\mu}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu + e^2g^{\mu\nu}\overline{A_\mu}A_\nu)\phi = 0\,, \tag{6}$$

we obtain the (gauge) conditions

$$A_r = A_\vartheta = 0\,, \tag{7}$$

$$g^{tt}A_t + g^{t\varphi}A_\varphi = \frac{na\cos\vartheta}{e\Sigma}\,, \tag{8}$$

$$g^{\varphi t}A_t + g^{\varphi\varphi}A_\varphi = \frac{n\cos\vartheta}{e\Sigma\sin^2\vartheta}\,. \tag{9}$$

From here it follows

$$A_t = \frac{na\cos\vartheta}{e\Sigma}, A_\varphi = -\frac{n\cos\vartheta(r^2+a^2)}{e\Sigma}\,. \tag{10}$$

Under the circumstances the connection in the bundle E is $A = A_\mu dx^\mu = A_t(r,\vartheta)dt + A_\varphi(r,\vartheta)d\varphi$ which yields the curvature of the bundle E as

$$F = dA = (\partial_t dt + \partial_r dr + \partial_\vartheta d\vartheta + \partial_\varphi d\varphi)A =$$
$$-\partial_r A_t dt\wedge dr - \partial_\vartheta A_t dt\wedge d\vartheta + \partial_r A_\varphi dr\wedge d\varphi + \partial_\vartheta A_\varphi d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi\,. \tag{11}$$

After this, if we integrate F over any surface t=const., r=const, we shall have

$$\int\limits_{S^2} F = \int\limits_{S^2} \partial_\vartheta A_\varphi d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi = -\frac{n}{e}\int\limits_{S^2} \Omega\sin\vartheta d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi = -\frac{2\pi n}{e}\int\limits_0^\pi \Omega\sin\vartheta d\vartheta \tag{12}$$

with

$$\Omega = \frac{(r^2+a^2)(a^2\cos^2\vartheta - r^2)}{\Sigma^2}$$

and the direct evaluation gives the conventional Dirac charge quantization condition

$$eq = 4\pi n \tag{13}$$

with magnetic charge $q = \int_{S^2} F$, so we can identify the coupling constant e with electric charge. As a consequence, the Dirac magnetic U(1)-monopoles naturally live on the Kerr black holes as connections in complex line bundles and we get the whole family of them through the relations (7)–(10), this family being parametrized by the Chern number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ of a complex line bundle over $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

Hence physically the appearance of TICs for complex scalar field should be obliged to the natural presence of Dirac monopoles on black hole and due to the interaction with them the given field splits into TICs.

Returning to the Eq. (5), we can use the ansatz $\phi = (r^2+a^2)^{-1/2}e^{i\omega t}e^{-im\varphi}S(\vartheta)R(r)$ to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dr}\Delta\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{R}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}}\right)+\frac{(r^2+a^2)^2\omega^2-4Mmra\omega+m^2a^2}{\Delta}\frac{R}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}}=-(\lambda+n^2)\frac{R}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sin\vartheta}\frac{d}{d\vartheta}\sin\vartheta\frac{dS}{d\vartheta}-\left(a^2\omega^2\sin^2\vartheta+2na\omega\cos\vartheta+\frac{m^2+n^2-2mn\cos\vartheta}{\sin^2\vartheta}\right)S=\lambda\,S\,. \tag{15}$$

At $n=0$ the Eq. (15) coincides with the equation for the so-called *oblate spheroidal functions* (see, e. g., Ref.[8]). After replacing $x=\cos\vartheta, |x|\leq 1$, the solution $S^l_m(a\omega,x)$ of (15) has $l-|m|$ zeros in the interval (-1,1), so that always $l\geq |m|, m\in\mathbb{Z}$. The corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda=\lambda_{lm}(a\omega)$ increase with increasing l and $\lambda_{lm}\to\infty$ at $l\to\infty$.[8] But $\lambda_{lm}(a\omega)$ cannot be expressed in an explicit form as a function of $l,m,a\omega$, though $\lambda_{lm}(0)=-l(l+1)$.[8] On the other hand, at $a=0$ the Eq. (15) coincides with the one for the functions $P^l_{mn}(\cos\vartheta)$ of Refs.[2,3,4] and at this $l=|n|,|n|+1,...,|m|\leq l$. As a result, we conclude that at $a\neq 0, n\neq 0$ the Eq. (15) has solutions $S^l_{mn}(a\omega,\cos\vartheta)$ conforming to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{nlm}(a\omega)$ with $l=|n|,|n|+1,...,|m|\leq l$ and $S^l_{mn}(0,\cos\vartheta)=P^l_{mn}(\cos\vartheta)$. It is evident that we will have the orthogonality relation at n fixed

$$\int\limits_0^\pi \overline{S^l_{mn}}(a\omega,\cos\vartheta)S^{l'}_{m'n}(a\omega,\cos\vartheta)\sin\vartheta d\vartheta=\frac{2}{2l+1}\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}\,, \tag{16}$$

which does not explicitly depend on $a\omega$ and passes on to the relation for $P^l_{mn}(\cos\vartheta)$ at $a=0$.[2,4]

Under this situation the combinations $Y_{nlm}(a\omega,\vartheta,\varphi)=e^{-im\varphi}S^l_{mn}(a\omega,\cos\vartheta)$ should be called the *monopole oblate spheroidal harmonics*, so that at $a=0$ we obtain the *monopole spherical harmonics* $Y_{nlm}(\vartheta,\varphi)$ (concerning the latter ones see Refs.[2,3,4,16] for more details).

It is clear that when quantizing twisted TIC with the Chern number n we can take the set of functions $(r^2+a^2)^{-1/2}R^{a\omega}_{nlm}(r)e^{i\omega t}e^{-im\varphi}S^l_{mn}(a\omega,\cos\vartheta)$ as a basis in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2\ )$, where the functions $R^{a\omega}_{nlm}(r)$ are the solutions of (14) conforming to $\lambda=\lambda_{nlm}(a\omega)$, $|m|\leq l$, $l=|n|,|n|+1,...$ At $n=0$ this set coincides with the standard one used for quantizing the untwisted TIC (see, e. g., Ref.[9]).

If comparing gauge II under consideration with gauge I of Ref.[1] one should note that in gauge I the component $A_\vartheta\neq 0$ and is subject to the condition

$$\frac{i}{e}|g|^{-1/2}\partial_\vartheta(\sqrt{|g|}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}A_\vartheta)+g^{\vartheta\vartheta}|A_\vartheta|^2=-\frac{n^2}{e^2\Sigma\sin^2\vartheta}\left\{1-\frac{\cos^2\vartheta}{\Sigma^2}\left[\left(r^2+a^2\right)^2-\Delta a^2\sin^2\vartheta\right]\right\}. \tag{7$'$}$$

As is seen from (7′), generally speaking, $A_\vartheta\neq 0$ in gauge I, if $n\neq 0$, so both the gauges are not equivalent to each other.

3. Maxwell Equations, Gauss Theorem and Lorentz Condition

We can define the Hodge star operator on 2-forms F of any k-dimensional (pseudo)riemannian manifold B provided with a (pseudo)riemannian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ by the relation (see, e. g., Ref.[10])

$$F\wedge *F=(g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}-g^{\mu\beta}g^{\nu\alpha})F_{\mu\nu}F_{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{|g|}\,dx^1\wedge dx^2\cdots\wedge dx^k \qquad (17)$$

in local coordinates x^μ. In our case of the metric (1) this yields

$$*(dt\wedge dr)=\sqrt{|g|}(g^{\varphi t}g^{rr}dt\wedge d\vartheta+g^{tt}g^{rr}d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi),\, *(dt\wedge d\vartheta)=-\sqrt{|g|}(g^{\varphi t}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}dt\wedge dr+g^{tt}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}dr\wedge d\varphi),$$
$$*(dt\wedge d\varphi)=\sqrt{|g|}(g^{tt}g^{\varphi\varphi}-g^{\varphi t}g^{t\varphi})dr\wedge d\vartheta\,,\, *(dr\wedge d\vartheta)=g^{rr}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}\sqrt{|g|}dt\wedge d\varphi\,,$$
$$*(dr\wedge d\varphi)=-\sqrt{|g|}(g^{rr}g^{\varphi\varphi}dt\wedge d\vartheta+g^{rr}g^{t\varphi}d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi),\, *(d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi)=\sqrt{|g|}(g^{\vartheta\vartheta}g^{\varphi\varphi}dt\wedge dr+g^{\vartheta\vartheta}g^{t\varphi}dr\wedge d\varphi), \qquad (18)$$

so that $*^2=**=-1$, as should be for the manifolds with lorentzian signature.[10]

Then according to (11) we obtain

$$*F=(g^{t\varphi}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial\vartheta}+g^{\vartheta\vartheta}g^{\varphi\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial\vartheta})\sqrt{|g|}\,dt\wedge dr-(g^{\varphi t}g^{rr}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r}+g^{rr}g^{\varphi\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r})\sqrt{|g|}\,dt\wedge d\vartheta$$
$$+(g^{tt}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial\vartheta}+g^{\vartheta\vartheta}g^{t\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial\vartheta})\sqrt{|g|}\,dr\wedge d\varphi-(g^{tt}g^{rr}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r}+g^{rr}g^{t\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r})\sqrt{|g|}\,d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi\,. \qquad (19)$$

It is clear that the Maxwell equations $dF=0$ are fulfilled for the form F of (11) because $d^2=0$. On the other hand, for the Maxwell equations $d*F=0$ to be fulfilled one needs in accordance with (19) to have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\sqrt{|g|}\left(g^{rr}g^{\varphi t}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r}+g^{rr}g^{\varphi\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r}\right)\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta}\left[\sqrt{|g|}\left(g^{t\varphi}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial\vartheta}+g^{\vartheta\vartheta}g^{\varphi\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial\vartheta}\right)\right]=0, \qquad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\sqrt{|g|}\left(g^{tt}g^{rr}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r}+g^{rr}g^{t\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r}\right)\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta}\left[\sqrt{|g|}\left(g^{tt}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial\vartheta}+g^{\vartheta\vartheta}g^{t\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial\vartheta}\right)\right]=0. \qquad (21)$$

The direct calculation with using (2) and (10) shows that the equations (20)–(21) are satisfied. Also, as one can notice, from the expression for $*F$ of (19) it follows

$$\int\limits_{S^2}*F=-\int\limits_{S^2}g^{rr}\left(g^{tt}\frac{\partial A_t}{\partial r}+g^{t\varphi}\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial r}\right)\sqrt{|g|}d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi=-\frac{4\pi anr}{e}\int\limits_{-1}^{1}\frac{x\,dx}{\Sigma^2}=0\,, \qquad (22)$$

where $x=\cos\vartheta$. Denoting the region inside the ellipsoid t=const., r=const. as V, we have in accordance with the Stokes theorem

$$\int\limits_V d*F = \int\limits_{\partial V=S^2} *F = 0\,, \tag{23}$$

i. e., the Gauss theorem is true for the monopoles under consideration. This is enough for an external observer not to see any electric charge of the Kerr black hole. Besides it should be emphasized that the total (internal) magnetic charge Q_m of black hole which should be considered as the one summed up over all the monopoles remains equal to zero because

$$Q_m = \frac{4\pi}{e}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} n = 0\,, \tag{24}$$

so the external observer does not see any magnetic charge of the Kerr black hole either though the monopoles are present on black hole in the sense described above.

Now defining the divergence of 1-form $A = A_\mu\, dx^\mu$ by the relation (see, e.g., Ref.[10])

$$\mathrm{div}(A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{|g|}g^{\mu\nu}A_\nu)\,,$$

we shall for the given monopoles of (10) have

$$\mathrm{div}(A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\{\partial_t[\sqrt{|g|}(g^{tt}A_t + g^{t\varphi}A_\varphi)] + \partial_\varphi[\sqrt{|g|}(g^{\varphi t}A_t + g^{\varphi\varphi}A_\varphi]\} = 0\,, \tag{25}$$

since nothing depends on t and φ. As a result, the Lorentz condition $\mathrm{div}(A) = 0$ is fulfilled for the monopoles under discussion.

If comparing with gauge I of Ref.[1], it should be noted that in it, generally speaking, $d*F \neq 0$, but the Gauss theorem and Lorentz condition are fulfilled as well. As a consequence, the equality $d*F = 0$ holds true in the weak (integral) sense.[1]

4. Monopole Masses

We can now use the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ for electromagnetic field

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(-F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu\beta}g^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4}F_{\beta\gamma}F_{\alpha\delta}g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\gamma\delta}g_{\mu\nu}) \tag{26}$$

to estimate masses of U(1)-monopoles under consideration. In our case

$$T_{00} = \frac{1}{4\pi}[-g^{rr}F^2_{tr} - g^{\vartheta\vartheta}F^2_{t\vartheta} + \frac{1}{4}g_{tt}(g^{tt}g^{rr}F^2_{tr} + g^{tt}g^{\vartheta\vartheta}F^2_{t\vartheta} + g^{rr}g^{\varphi\varphi}F^2_{r\varphi} + g^{\vartheta\vartheta}g^{\varphi\varphi}F^2_{\vartheta\varphi})]\,, \tag{27}$$

where $F_{tr} = -\partial_r A_t$, $F_{t\vartheta} = -\partial_\vartheta A_t$, $F_{r\varphi} = \partial_r A_\varphi$, $F_{\vartheta\varphi} = \partial_\vartheta A_\varphi$.

So long as we are in the asymptotically flat spacetime, we can calculate the sought masses according to

$$m_{\mathrm{mon}}(n) = \int\limits_{t=const} T_{00}\sqrt{\gamma}\, dr\wedge d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi\,, \tag{28}$$

where

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \sqrt{\det(\gamma_{ij})} = \sqrt{\Sigma/\Delta}\sin\vartheta\sqrt{(r^2+a^2)^2-\Delta a^2\sin^2\vartheta} \tag{29}$$

for the metric $d\sigma^2 = \gamma_{ij}dx^i\otimes dx^j$ on the hypersurface $t = const$, while T_{00} is computed at the monopole with the Chern number n.

Under this situation, it is not complicated to check that $T_{00}\sqrt{\gamma}$ has the following asymptotic behaviour at $r\to\infty$

$$T_{00}\sqrt{\gamma} \sim \frac{n^2\sin\vartheta}{16\pi e^2 r^2}\,. \tag{30}$$

As a result, we can estimate (in usual units)

$$m_{\rm mon}(n) \sim \frac{\hbar^2c^2}{G}\frac{n^2}{16\pi e^2}4\pi\int\limits_{r_+}^{\infty}\frac{dr}{r^2} = \frac{n^2}{4e^2r_+}\frac{\hbar^2c^2}{G}\,. \tag{31}$$

Consequently, the conforming Compton wavelength

$$\lambda_{\rm mon}(n) = \frac{\hbar}{m_{\rm mon}(n)c} = \frac{4e^2}{n^2}\frac{Gr_+}{\hbar c^3}\,, \tag{32}$$

whereas the gravitational radius of black hole $r_g = Gr_+/c^2 \gg \lambda_{\rm mon}(n)$ for any $|n|\geq 1$, so long as $e^2/\hbar c\approx 1/137$ with $e = 4.8\cdot 10^{-10}\,{\rm cm}^{3/2}\cdot{\rm g}^{1/2}\cdot{\rm s}^{-1}$, so that the given monopoles could reside as quantum objects in black holes.

The monopoles with $n<0$ can be called *antimonopoles*. It is clear that the masses of monopole and antimonopole with the same $|n|$ are equal. The relation (31) along with the results of Refs.[3,4] allow us to draw the conclusion that for all three types of black holes (Schwarzschild, Reissner-Nordström and Kerr) $m_{\rm mon}(n)\sim n^2/e^2r_+$, where r_+ is the characteristic size of the horizon. The dependence of $m_{\rm mon}(n)$ from the Chern number n ($\sim n^2$) at first sight tells us that the availability of such objects with the arbitrarily large masses on black holes should have a strong influence on the own gravitational field of black hole. The study of the contribution of twisted TICs, for example, to the Hawking radiation[4] shows, however, that really this contribution is obliged only to the monopoles with $|n|$=1—10–15, i. e., one can conclude that effectively the monopoles with big Chern numbers are absent or suppressed. On the other hand, if we sum up over all the (anti)monopole masses, we shall obtain the formally divergent result $M_{\rm tot} = C\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}n^2$ with some constant C. One can, however, apply the standard ζ-regularization method to rewrite $M_{\rm tot}$ as $C\zeta(-2)$ with the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$. But $\zeta(-2k)=0$, $k=1,2,...$ (see, e. g., Ref.[11]) and, accordingly, we obtain $M_{\rm tot}=0$. This result may point at a hidden mechanism of cancellations among the monopole dynamical effects.

At the end of this section one can note that in gauge I the estimate of monopole mass is practically the same but it requires excluding the unphysical component A_ϑ with the help of gauge condition ($7'$) (see for more details Ref.[1]).

5. Increase of Hawking Radiation from Kerr Black Hole

When quantizing twisted TIC with the Chern number n we shall take the set of functions $f^{a\omega}_{nlm} = (2\pi\omega)^{-1/2}(r^2+a^2)^{-1/2}R^{a\omega}_{nlm}(r)e^{i\omega t}Y_{nlm}(a\omega, \cos\vartheta)$ as a basis in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$, where the functions $R^{a\omega}_{nlm}(r)$ are the solutions of (14) conforming to $\lambda = \lambda_{nlm}(a\omega)$, $|m| \le l$, $l = |n|, |n|+1, ...$ and we shall normalize the monopole oblate spheroidal harmonics $Y_{nlm}(a\omega, \vartheta, \varphi)$ of Sec. 2 to 1, i. e., by the condition

$$\int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \overline{Y_{nlm}}(a\omega, \vartheta, \varphi) Y_{nl'm'}(a\omega, \vartheta, \phi) \sin\vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'} \, .$$

After this we can evidently realize the procedure of quantizing TIC with the Chern number n, as usual, by expanding this TIC in the modes $f^{a\omega}_{nlm}$

$$\phi = \sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|\le l} \int\limits_0^\infty d\omega (a^-_{\omega nlm} f^{a\omega}_{nlm} + b^+_{\omega nlm} \overline{f^{a\omega}_{nlm}}) \, ,$$

$$\phi^+ = \sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|\le l} \int\limits_0^\infty d\omega (b^-_{\omega nlm} f^{a\omega}_{nlm} + a^+_{\omega nlm} \overline{f^{a\omega}_{nlm}}) \, , \tag{33}$$

so that $a^\pm_{\omega nlm}$, $b^\pm_{\omega nlm}$ should be interpreted as the corresponding creation and annihilation operators for charged scalar particle in both the gravitational field of black hole and the field of the conforming monopole with the Chern number n and we have the standard commutation relations

$$[a^-_i, a^+_j] = \delta_{ij}, \; [b^-_i, b^+_j] = \delta_{ij} \tag{33'}$$

and zero for all other commutators, where $i = \{\omega nlm\}$ is a generalized index.

Let us now return to the Eq. (14). By replacing

$$r^* = r + \frac{M}{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}} \left(r_+ \ln \frac{r - r_+}{r_+} - r_- \ln \frac{r - r_-}{r_-} \right) \tag{34}$$

and by going to the dimensionless quantities $x = r^*/M$, $y = r/M$, $k = \omega M$, Eq. (14) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + \left[k - \frac{m\alpha}{y^2(x) + \alpha^2} \right]^2 \psi = V_\lambda(x, k, \alpha)\psi \, , \tag{35}$$

where

$$V_\lambda(x,k,\alpha) = \left\{ -\frac{\lambda + n^2}{[y^2(x)+\alpha^2]^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{[y^2(x)+\alpha^2]^3} + \frac{2y(x)[y^2(x) - 2\alpha^2]}{[y^2(x)+\alpha^2]^4} \right\} [y^2(x) - 2y(x) + \alpha^2]$$
$$- \frac{2km\alpha}{y^2(x)+\alpha^2} + \frac{4km\alpha}{[y^2(x)+\alpha^2]^2} \tag{36}$$

with $\alpha = a/M$, $\psi = \psi(x,k,\alpha) = R^*(Mx), R^*(r^*) = R[r(r^*)]$, while $y(x)$ is the one-to-one correspondence between $(-\infty,\infty)$ and $(1+\sqrt{1-\alpha^2},\infty)$, so that

$$x = y + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}}\left(y_+ \ln\frac{y-y_+}{y_+} - y_- \ln\frac{y-y_-}{y_-}\right) \tag{37}$$

with $y_\pm = 1 \pm \sqrt{1-\alpha^2}$, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. It is natural to search for the general solution of (35) in the class of functions restricted on the whole x-axis in the linear combination form

$$\psi_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha) = C^1_{nlm}(k,\alpha)\psi^1_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha) + C^2_{nlm}(k,\alpha)\psi^2_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha)\,, \tag{38}$$

where the solutions $\psi^{1,2}_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha)$ pose a scattering problem on the whole x-axis for the equation (35)

$$\psi^1_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha) \sim \begin{cases} e^{i(k-m\alpha/2y_+)x} + \ s_{12}(k,\alpha,n,l,m)e^{-i(k-m\alpha/2y_+)x}, & x \to -\infty, \\ s_{11}(k,\alpha,n,l,m)e^{ikx}, & x \to +\infty, \end{cases}$$

$$\psi^2_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha) \sim \begin{cases} s_{22}(k,\alpha,n,l,m)e^{-i(k-m\alpha/2y_+)x}, & x \to -\infty, \\ e^{-ikx} + \ s_{21}(k,\alpha,n,l,m)e^{ikx}, & x \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

with S-matrix

$$S(k,\alpha,n,l,m) = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}(k,\alpha,n,l,m) & s_{12}(k,\alpha,n,l,m) \\ s_{21}(k,\alpha,n,l,m) & s_{22}(k,\alpha,n,l,m) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{40}$$

Having obtained these relations, one can speak about the Hawking radiation process for any TIC of complex scalar field on black holes. Actually, one should use the energy-momentum tensor for TIC with the Chern number n conforming to the lagrangian (4)

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{Re}[(\overline{\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi})(\mathcal{D}_\nu\phi) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}(\overline{\mathcal{D}_\alpha\phi})(\mathcal{D}_\beta\phi)]\,, \tag{41}$$

or, in quantum form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{Re}[(\overline{\mathcal{D}_\mu}\phi^+)(\mathcal{D}_\nu\phi) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}(\overline{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}\phi^+)(\mathcal{D}_\beta\phi)]\,. \tag{42}$$

To get, according to the standard receipt (see, e. g., Ref.[12]) but with employing the monopole oblate spheroidal harmonics described in Sec. 2, the luminosity $L(M,J,n)$ with respect to the Hawking radiation for T HhP´M5=VXY´˘ HhP´M5=VXY´˘5 with the Chern number n, we define a vacuum state $|0>$ by the conditions

$$a_i^-|0>=0,\ b_i^-|0>=0\,, \tag{43}$$

and then

$$L(M,J,n)=\lim_{r\to\infty}\int\limits_{S^2}<0|T_{tr}|0>d\sigma \tag{44}$$

with the vacuum expectation value $<0|T_{tr}|0>$ and the surface element $d\sigma=\sqrt{g_{\vartheta\vartheta}g_{\varphi\varphi}}d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi$ tending to $r^2\sin\vartheta d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi$ when $r\to+\infty$. Under the circumstances with the help of (10), (33), (42) and (43) we find at $r\to\infty$

$$<0|T_{tr}|0>\longrightarrow \mathrm{Re}\left[\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\int_0^\infty \overline{(\partial_r f^{a\omega}_{nlm})}(\partial_t f^{a\omega}_{nlm})d\omega\right]. \tag{45}$$

Further, using the explicit form of $f^{a\omega}_{nlm}$ and the mentioned normalization of $Y_{nlm}(a\omega,\cos\vartheta)$ we shall obtain

$$L(M,J,n)=\lim_{r\to\infty}\mathrm{Re}\left[\frac{i}{2\pi}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\int_0^\infty \overline{(\partial_r R^{a\omega}_{nlm})}R^{a\omega}_{nlm}d\omega\right]. \tag{46}$$

Now we can pass on to the quantities x, k, α introduced early in this section to get

$$L(M,J,n)=L(\alpha,n)=M^{-2}\lim_{x\to\infty}\mathrm{Re}\left[\frac{i}{2\pi}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\int_0^\infty \overline{(\partial_x\psi_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha)}\psi_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha)dk\right], \tag{47}$$

where the relations

$$\frac{d}{dr}=\frac{r^2+a^2}{\Delta}\frac{d}{dr^*}=\frac{x^2+\alpha^2}{(x^2-2x+\alpha^2)M}\frac{d}{dx} \tag{48}$$

were used.

As a consequence, the choice of vacuum state can be defined by the choice of the suitable linear combination of (38) for $\psi_{nlm}(x,k,\alpha)$. Under this situation the Hawking radiation corresponds to the mentioned choice in the form

$$C^1_{nlm}(k,\alpha)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\exp(\frac{k-m\Omega_0}{TM})-1}},\ C^2_{nlm}(k,\alpha)=0\,, \tag{49}$$

which at last with using asymptotics (39) entails (in usual units)

$$L(\alpha,n)=A\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}\sum_{|m|\le l}\int\limits_0^\infty\frac{|s_{11}(k,\alpha,n,l,m)|^2\,kdk}{\exp(\frac{k-m\Omega_0}{TM})-1}\,, \tag{50}$$

where the Kerr black hole temperature $T=(y_+-y_-)/4\pi My_+^2$, $\Omega_0=\alpha/(y_+^2+\alpha^2)$, $A=\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\left(\frac{\hbar c^3}{GM}\right)^2\approx 0.273673\cdot10^{50}\,\mathrm{erg}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}\cdot M^{-2}$ (M in g).

We can interpret $L(\alpha,n)$ as an additional contribution to the Hawking radiation due to the additional charged scalar particles leaving the black hole because of the interaction with monopoles and the conforming radiation can be called *the monopole Hawking radiation*. Under this situation, for the total luminosity $L(\alpha)$ of black hole with respect to the Hawking radiation concerning the complex scalar field to be obtained, one should sum up over all n, i. e.

$$L(\alpha)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}L(\alpha,n)=L(\alpha,0)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}L(\alpha,-n)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}L(\alpha,n)\,, \tag{51}$$

where, generally speaking, $L(\alpha,-n)\neq L(\alpha,n)$ owing to the fact that $\lambda_{nlm}(a\omega)\neq\lambda_{-nlm}(a\omega)$ for the eigenvalues λ from (15).

As a result, we can expect a marked increase of Hawking radiation from Kerr black holes. But for to get an exact value of this increase one should apply numerical methods, so long as the scattering problem (39) does not admit any exact solution and is complicated enough for consideration — the potential $V_\lambda(x,k,\alpha)$ of (36) is given in an implicit form and eigenvalues $\lambda=\lambda_{nlm}(a\omega)$ can be defined only numerically which also constitutes the separate difficult task. Therefore, one should put off obtainment of the numerical results for Kerr black holes until the mentioned problems are overcome so we hope to obtain those numerical results elsewhere.

Returning to comparing the gauges I and II, it should be noted that the equations (14) and (15) are somewhat different in gauge I as well as the potential $V_\lambda(x,k,\alpha)$ of (36) (see for more details Ref.[1]). The same above problems for numerical computations in gauge I are yet unsolved either and, therefore, for the aim of comparing two gauges I and II we shall in the present section consider the case $a=0$ (as a result, $\alpha=0$), i. e. the SW black hole case where the corresponding problems can be solved. In both the gauges we shall instead of (37) have $x=y+2\ln(0.5y-1)$, $-\infty<x<\infty$, $2\leq y<\infty$, $y(x)$ is the one-to-one correspondence between $(-\infty,\infty)$ and $(2,\infty)$ and, besides, $y(x)>0$ and monotonically increases at $x\in(2,\infty)$, so that $y_+=2, y_-=0$. At $\alpha=0$ in both the gauges we have $\lambda_{nlm}(0)=\lambda_{-nlm}(0)=-l(l+1), l=|n|,|n|+1,\cdots,|m|\leq l$ for the eigenvalues λ and, therefore

$$L(0)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}L(0,n)=L(0,0)+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}L(0,n)\,, \tag{52}$$

because $L(0,n)=L(0,-n)$ here and

$$L(0,n)=A\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}(2l+1)c_{nlm} \tag{53}$$

with

$$c_{nlm}=\int\limits_0^\infty\frac{|s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)|^2\,k\,dk}{e^{8\pi k}-1}\,. \tag{54}$$

As for the potential $V_\lambda(x,k,0)$ of (36) then it is equal to

$$V_\lambda(x)=\left[1-\frac{2}{y(x)}\right]\left[\frac{l(l+1)}{y^2(x)}+\frac{2}{y^3(x)}\right] \tag{55}$$

in the gauge I and

$$V_\lambda(x)=\left[1-\frac{2}{y(x)}\right]\left[\frac{l(l+1)-n^2}{y^2(x)}+\frac{2}{y^3(x)}\right] \tag{56}$$

in the gauge II.

Under this situation, as the general theory shows (see, e. g., Ref.[13]), in order $s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)$ to exist for the scattering problem (39) for the equation (35) at $\alpha=0$, it is enough to have

$$Q_\lambda=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|V_\lambda(x)|dx<\infty\,. \tag{57}$$

As is not complicated to show,[4] this condition is fulfilled for both potentials (55)–(56) and we may apply the general theory[13] to express

$$s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)=\frac{2ik}{2ik-J_\lambda(k)} \tag{58}$$

with

$$J_\lambda(k)=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}V_\lambda(x)e^{ikx}f^-_\lambda(x,k)dx=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}V_\lambda(x)e^{-ikx}f^+_\lambda(x,k)dx\,, \tag{59}$$

where the so-called Jost functions $f^\pm_\lambda(x,k)$ satisfy the Volterra integral equations

$$f^+_\lambda(x,k)=e^{ikx}-\int\limits_{x}^{\infty}\frac{\sin k(x-t)}{k}V_\lambda(t)f^+_\lambda(t,k)dt\,,$$

$$f^-_\lambda(x,k)=e^{-ikx}+\int\limits_{-\infty}^{x}\frac{\sin k(x-t)}{k}V_\lambda(t)f^-_\lambda(t,k)dt\,. \tag{60}$$

Besides, from (58)-(59) it follows that $s_{11}(0,0,n,l,m)=0$ if $J_\lambda(0)\neq0$. The latter condition can be checked only numerically for potentials $V_\lambda(x)$ in question and this check certifies that the condition is fulfilled, so, in what follows, we consider $J_\lambda(0)\neq0$. Finally, theory shows[13] that at $k\to+\infty$

$$s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)=\frac{1}{1+\frac{\beta}{k}+\frac{o(1)}{k}}$$

with $\beta=-\frac{1}{2i}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}V_\lambda(x)dx$, that is, $|s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)|^2\to1$ at $k\to+\infty$.

Now we can estimate the behaviour of $L(0,n)$ in both the gauges. For this aim we represent the coefficients c_{nlm} of (54) in the form (omitting the integrand)

$$c_{nlm} = c^1_{nlm} + c^2_{nlm} = \int\limits_0^{\ln l/2\pi} + \int\limits_{\ln l/2\pi}^{\infty}\,, \tag{61}$$

so that $L(0,n)$ of (53) is equal to $L_1(0,n) + L_2(0,n)$ respectively. From (58) it follows (the prime signifies differentiation with respect to k)

$$s'_{11}(0,0,n,l,m) = -\frac{2i}{J_\lambda(0)}\,.$$

Since in our case $s_{11}(0,0,n,l,m) = 0$ (see above), then at $0 \le k \le \ln l/2\pi$ we can put $|s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)|^2 \sim |s'_{11}(0,0,n,l,m)|^2 k^2$.

5.1. *The gauge I*

One can rewrite (55) as $V_\lambda(x) = l(l+1)U_0(x)$ and considering $f^-_\lambda(x,k) \sim e^{-ikx}$, we obtain (because $y(x) > 0$, see above)

$$J_\lambda(0) \sim l(l+1)\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_0(x)\,dx \ge l(l+1)Q \tag{62}$$

with

$$Q = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[1 - \frac{2}{y(x)}\right]\frac{dx}{y^2(x)} > 0\,, \tag{63}$$

which entails

$$c^1_{nlm} \sim \frac{4}{[l(l+1)Q]^2}\int\limits_0^{\ln l/2\pi}\frac{k^3dk}{e^{8\pi k}-1} \le \frac{4}{[l(l+1)Q]^2}\frac{1}{(8\pi)^4}\int\limits_0^{\infty}\frac{k^3dk}{e^k-1} =$$

$$\frac{4}{[l(l+1)Q]^2(8\pi)^4}3!\,\zeta(4) = \frac{1}{30\cdot 8^3[l(l+1)Q]^2}\,,$$

where we used the formula of Ref.[11]

$$\int\limits_0^{\infty}\frac{t^n dt}{e^t-1} = n!\,\zeta(n+1)$$

with the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, while $\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90$. Under these conditions

$$L_1(0,n) = \frac{A}{2\pi}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}(2l+1)c^1_{nlm} \sim \frac{A}{2\pi}\int\limits_{|n|}^{\infty}(2l+1)c^1_{nlm}\,dl = \frac{A}{2\pi(n^2+|n|)30\cdot 8^3Q^2} \sim \frac{A}{2\pi n^2 30\cdot 8^3Q^2}. \tag{64}$$

As for the coefficient c^2_{nlm} then [since $|s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)|^2 \leq 1$]

$$c^2_{nlm} = \int\limits_{\ln l/2\pi}^{\infty} \frac{|s_{11}(k,0,n,l,m)|^2\, k\, dk}{e^{8\pi k}-1} \leq \int\limits_{\ln l/2\pi}^{\infty} k\, e^{-8\pi k} dk = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 l^4}\left(\ln l + \frac{1}{4\pi}\right)$$

and, as a consequence,

$$L_2(0,n) = \frac{A}{2\pi}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}(2l+1)c^2_{nlm} \sim \frac{A}{16\pi^3}\int\limits_{|n|}^{\infty}\frac{\ln l dl}{l^3} = \frac{A}{64\pi^3}\,\frac{2\ln n+1}{n^2}\,, \tag{65}$$

and we can estimate that

$$L(0,n) \sim L_1(0,n) + L_2(0,n) \sim B\frac{\ln n}{n^2} \tag{66}$$

with some constant B not depending on n. As a result, the series over n in the right-hand side of (52) is convergent and total $L(0) < \infty$. Really, the numerical computation[4] says that twisted configurations on the SW black hole gives the contribution of order 17% to the total luminosity $L(0)$ of (52).

5.2. *The gauge II*

It is obvious that we shall here have for potential of (56)

$$J_\lambda(0) \sim [l(l+1)-n^2]\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_0(x)\, dx \geq [l(l+1)-n^2]Q \tag{67}$$

which entails

$$c^1_{nlm} \sim \frac{1}{30\cdot 8^3[l(l+1)-n^2]^2Q^2}\,,$$

and further

$$L_1(0,n) = \frac{A}{2\pi}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}(2l+1)c^1_{nlm} \sim \frac{A}{2\pi}\int\limits_{|n|}^{\infty}(2l+1)c^1_{nlm}\, dl = \frac{A}{2\pi|n|30\cdot 8^3Q^2}\,. \tag{68}$$

At the same time, the above estimate of c^2_{nlm} will remain true so that now

$$L(0,n) \sim L_1(0,n) + L_2(0,n) \sim C\frac{1}{|n|} \tag{69}$$

with some constant C not depending on n. As a result, the series over n in the right-hand side of (52) is divergent and total $L(0) = \infty$.

5.3. *Comparison of gauges*

To compare the results obtained let us pass on to the flat $M \to 0, J \to 0$ limit. Under the circumstances the wave equation in gauge I of Ref.[1] will turn into the usual Klein-Gordon equation in the flat Minkowsky space whose spatial metric is written in standard spherical coordinates r, ϑ, φ while the wave equation (5) in gauge II will become the usual Klein-Gordon equation in presence of Dirac magnetic monopole which is usually employed in standard theory of the so-called flat magnetic monopoles (see, e. g., Ref.[14]). There is, however, one subtlety which has already been discussed in Ref.[3] but let us recall it here as well.

Strictly speaking, if the words " the flat space " signify the Minkowsky space then for it there exist no monopole (abelian or not) solutions because the latter solutions are given by the connections in nontrivial vector bundles over the spacetime[15] while the trivial topology $\mathbb{R}^4$ of the Minkowsky space does not admit any nontrivial vector bundles. In the traditional approach to monopoles (see, e. g., the review of Ref.[14]), however, the trivial topology $\mathbb{R}^4$ is tacitly replaced by the $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}$-topology, where $\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}$ implies $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the origin (where the monopole is) discarded. But the $\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}$ is homeomorphic (and even diffeomorphic) to $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and, as a result, $\mathbb{R}^4$-topology is tacitly replaced by the $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ -topology, i. e., by the very same which is under consideration in this paper. The metric on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ -topology in the conventional approach is, however, the metric of (1) at $M = J = 0$.

When evaporating black hole it is natural to expect that the spacetime near black hole becomes the genuine Minkowsky one, i. e., with topology $\mathbb{R}^4$ anf flat metric. Under this situation the monopoles should also vanish, so long as their existence is conditioned by nontrivial spacetime topology near black hole, though monopoles help the evaporation due to the monopole Hawking radiation. All of that holds true in gauge I and the contribution of the monopoles to the Hawking radiation proves to be finite. In gauge II the monopoles do not vanish after evaporation since the spacetime topology do not change and the contribution of monopoles proves to be infinite. The latter fact may be amended by the conjecture that in real physical situation only the finite equal number monopoles and antimonopoles can reside in black hole to remain its magnetic charge equal to zero. The further fate of monopoles remains, however, unclear in gauge II.

6. Concluding Remarks

Within the present paper framewowk we did not touch upon the possibility of solving the problem of statistical substantiation of the Kerr black hole entropy with the help of U(1)-monopoles since it has been done in Ref. 6 (in gauge II) and it should be noted that considerations concerning this do not practically differ in both the gauges (see, e. g., the SW and RN black hole cases in Ref. 16 where the gauge I was used). So the results of both the present paper and Refs.[1,2,3,4,5,6,16] show that the study of U(N)-monopoles (with $N \geq 1$) on the 4D black holes is interesting and important task. Such an exploration might shed new light on black hole structure and the place of the black holes in the generic scheme of quantum gravity. Really, as has been seen above, when taking the mentioned monopoles into consideration there arise new features in the Hawking radiation process such as the *monopole Hawking radiation.* Besides there appears some *fine structure* able to create statistical ensemble necessary for generating black hole entropy.[6,16] Also, we have seen that the conventional Dirac charge quantization condition (13) is naturally fulfilled near black holes and this can allow one to make the rather audacious assumption that *all or almost all charged matter* was created from black holes, for example, in early stages of the universe evolution which predetermined the electric charge quantization all around the whole observed universe, though monopoles having been responsible for it vanished after evaporation of black holes.

It is clear that one can pass on to the more realistic fields, in the first turn, to electromagnetic and spinorial ones. As has been discussed in Refs.[1,2,3,4,6], these fields also admit TICs on black holes and, in consequence, one should expect, for instance, a certain modification of the Hawking radiation process for them as well. The case of interest is also to explore vacuum polarization for TICs near black holes where the new marked contributions are expected to appear as well. One should try to have constructed U(N)-monopoles with $N \geq 1$ on the generic Kerr-Newman black holes too. Finally, the above program can obviously be extended to include the important class of Tomimatsu-Sato metrics[17] and their charged versions[18] which are natural deformations of Kerr and Kerr-Newman metrics and can be useful when analysing a number of problems related with the 4D black hole physics.

All the mentioned problems require urgent study and we hope to continue this exploration.

Acknowledgement

The work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 98-02-18380-a) and by GRACENAS (grant No. 6-18-1997).

References

1. Yu. P. Goncharov, *Phys. Lett.* **B398**, 32 (1997).
2. Yu. P. Goncharov and J. V. Yarevskaya, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9**, 3175 (1994); **A10**, 1813 (1995).
3. Yu. P. Goncharov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B460**, 167 (1996).
4. Yu. P. Goncharov and N. E. Firsova, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D5**, 419 (1996); *Nucl. Phys.* **B486**, 371 (1997).
5. Yu. P. Goncharov, *Pis'ma v ZhETF* **67**, 1021 (1998).
6. Yu. P. Goncharov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A13**, 1495 (1998).
7. S. A. Teukolsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **29**, 1114 (1972).
8. I. V. Komarov, L. I. Ponomarev and S. Yu. Slavyanov, *Spheroidal and Coulomb Spheroidal Functions* (Nauka, 1976).
9. I. D. Novikov and V. P. Frolov, *Black Hole Physics* (Nauka, 1986); English edition: *Physics of Black Holes* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989).
10. A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds* (Springer-Verlag, 1987).
11. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions.* Eds. M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (National Bureau of Standards, 1964).
12. B. S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rep.* **19**, 295 (1975).

13. K. Chadan and P. C. Sabatier, *Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory* (Springer-Verlag, 1977).
14. P. Rossi, *Phys. Rep.* **86**, 317 (1982).
15. M. Atiyah and N. Hitchin, *The Geometry and Dynamics of Magnetic Monopoles* (Princeton U. P., 1988).
16. Yu. P. Goncharov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A12**, 3347 (1997).
17. A. Tomimatsu and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **29**, 1344 (1972); *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **50**, 95 (1973).
18. F. J. Ernst, *Phys. Rev.* **D7**, 2520 (1973).